

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,366	10/021,366 12/12/2001		Kintu O. Early	SP00-380	9108
22928	7590	02/24/2004		EXAMINER	
CORNING SP-TI-3-1	INCORP	ORATED	HOFFMANN, JOHN M		
CORNING. NY 14831				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1731	111

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/021,366	EARLY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John Hoffmann	1731			
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with ti	he correspondence address			
TI - -	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e. cause the application to become ABAND	oe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Statu	s					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	lanuary 2004.				
2a	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp	osition of Claims					
5 6 7	Claim(s) <u>26-28,31,36,38-48 and 63-65</u> is/are part 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrand Claim(s) <u>36,38-41 and 44-48, 63, 65</u> is/are all Claim(s) <u>26-28,32,42 and 43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration. lowed.	10			
Appl	ication Papers					
9	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
		•				
Attacl	hment(s)					
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
2) 3)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	- \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Pater	nt and Trademark Office		D . (D . N			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-28, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aktins 5157747 in view of Hicks 4822136.

(See previous Office action)

Aktins does not teach staring out with doping a preform with fluorine. Hicks discloses doping with fluorine to substantially eliminate hydroxyl ions and lower viscosity. It would have been obvious to alter Aktins by doping the soot body with fluorine, for the advantages of Hicks.

Claim 27, see col. 3 line 37.

Claim 28 see the first 44 lines of col. 3 of Aktins.

Claim 31, it would have been obvious to perform routine experimentation to determine the appropriate/optional gas concentrations.

Art Unit: 1731

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 42 and 43 are identical except for the term "an". One of ordinary skill would be confused as to what the difference between the two claims are.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued that there is no suggestion that fluorine should be substituted for chlorine. The rejection does not indicate that there is a suggestion: rather it would have been obvious to do so. Obviousness can be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, *or motivation* to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art.

See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, one would have been motivated to do so for the advantages of Hicks.

It is further argued that the combination "may" make the invention of Atkins inoperable. This is irrelevant because any motification "may" make an invention inoperable: the relevant question is there an reasonable expectation of success. Since

Art Unit: 1731

Hicks teaches one can make a fiber with fluorine in a core and cladding, one would expect that one could use fluorine in the Aktins fiber.

Applicant asks whether one of ordinary skill in the art who set out to solve the problem of eliminating absorption peaks due to fluorine, be reasonably expected to combine the cited references. Applicant's state the answer is "no". Examiner fails to see the relevance of the question or the answer. The statute (35 USC 103) does not hinge whether an invention is obvious under a particular goal (in this case the goal of solving the problem of eliminating absorption peaks.) The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26, 38-41, 44-48 and 63-65 are allowed.

Claims 42 or 43 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: In addition for the reasons given by applicant, Fleming's method depletes, Ge (see col. 7, line 54).

One would not combine with Aktins - which wants Ge in the final product. Also, Aktins's

Art Unit: 1731

Ge is in a porous body, whereas Fleming has Ge in a non-porous body. The Atkins CO could not reach the Fleming Ge.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272 1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00- 3:30.

Art Unit: 1731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Hoffmann

Primary Examiner

jmh